

Verwaltungsgemeinschaft Freie Stadt Danzig Senatspräsident Beowulf von Prince

Schweizer Str. 38 AT-6830 Rankweil prince.beowulf@outlook.de www.verfassung.info

Aug. 23, 2022

Beowulf von Prince, Schweizer Str. 38, AT-6830 Rankweil To the World Economic Forum for the attention of Mr. Klaus Schwab 91-93 route de la Capite CH-1223 Cologny/Geneva Switzerland

open letter

Dear Mr. Klaus Schwab

who are you? You created the World Economic Forum (WEF). The WEF is said to have now 1'000 companies with a turnover of at least 5'000'000 000,-€, as well as numerous international members, among them Mr. Feike Sijbesma and Mr. Rutte. Identity in international legal relations includes the designation of nationality.

The question, which German nationality you have, arises because the German state of Bavaria is again a national socialist dictatorship and the Final Treaty on Germany from 1990, 2 (FRG and GDR) + 4 (Powers) Treaty has not been realized until today.

The Second World War began on Sept. 01, 1939 with the invasion of the Free City of Danzig. The Free City of Danzig was established as an area of freedom, justice and security.

The Free City of Danzig was placed under the protection of the League of Nations and the Constitution of the Free City of Danzig is a treaty between citizens of Danzig with the community of nations.

Anyone could enter without a visa. Approximately 620,000 citizens of Jewish faith used Danzig to escape. The people of Danzig were the only bulwark against the Nazis in Europe. The Second World War was started as a war against humanity and will not be over until the Free City of Danzig has received reparations.

Mrs. Karin Leffer and I filed suit in Washington D. C., viewable at Pacer, Case No. 1:19-cv-03529-CJN and at www.verfassung.info claiming that the U. S. has jurisdiction, that the rule of law be restored and that the 2 + 4 Treaty be realized. Truthfully, I write that the FRG has always been and is sovereign as long as the nationals of the Free City of Danzig exist.

The FRG is conceived as the legal successor of the Free City of Danzig. Under international law, this legal succession is completed when a constitution is promulgated for the FRG to which the Danzig nationals have agreed. Mr. Judge Nichols in Washington, D. C., ruled that the Danzigers had jurisdiction.

Triggered by this lawsuit, I look for the first time at the Nationality Act, issue date July 22, 1913. My father had made use of the First Act for the Regulation of Nationality of Feb. 22, 1955, and had expressly renounced this nationality. The government of Lower Franconia confirms that he, as a national of Danzig, is "German in the meaning of Art. 116, Para. 1 of the German Basic Law". I find the insertion of Section 40a in 1999, which makes me, as a "holder of German nationality within the meaning of Article 116 (1) of the Basic Law", a national of the German Reich. I notify in October 2020 that without my express consent Section 40a is null and void and claim damages and the power of disposition over the 6'000'000'000'000.€ foreign trade

surplus. In July 2021, an amendment to the Unification Treaty between the FRG and the GDR confirmed that the 2 + 4 Treaty is not fulfilled. Section 40a was deleted without a sound and Mr. Olaf Scholz promises to increase the minimum wages instead of only 2% by 20%, so that the trade surpluses are reduced. The "Germans" have me to thank for this "gift".

Together with Mrs. Karin Leffer, I founded the Association for the Law in 2006 in order to demand German law. In order to make clear which German right we demand, we have politically reorganized the Free City of Danzig. Mrs. Karin Leffer is therefore still wanted with an arrest warrant.

In 2005, the Judges and Prosecutors Act was created and judges and prosecutors were subjected to the disciplinary law for soldiers. In Bavaria, public prosecutors bound by instructions are appointed disciplinary superiors of judges at the same court, contrary to Section 20a of the Courts Constitution Act. The independence of judges is completely eliminated. The First Act to Adjust Federal Law of April 19, 2006, repealed the entry into force of the Courts Constitution Act, the Code of Civil Procedure, and the Code of Criminal Procedure, and finally repealed the civil service laws.

In 2000, the Swiss demoted their civil servants to employees. Since then, they no longer follow the laws but the instructions so that they are not dismissed.

How can it be that no one reports on the fact that Bavaria is once again a National Socialist dictatorship and that the 2 + 4 Treaty has not been realized? Only the World Economic Forum has so much influence that these sober facts are not discussed. Of course, the question arises who is interested in Bavaria being a dictatorship again as in the last century as a pioneer and definitely still at war.

On Dec. 27, 2017, I wrote to the International Court of Justice in The Hague with letterhead and stamp of the Free City of Danzig and reported that even if no bombs fall, war is waged, just as brutally as any other war. I described the treaties under international law until 1990, and the answer is that I cannot draw any legal conclusions from them yet. But the website of the International Court of Justice has been redesigned and the United Nations in New York published my father's documents concerning his claims for damages from World War II: https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/1656856?ln=en

He has received only 3% of his claims. The rest will be due when a peace treaty is signed. I have accepted my inheritance. You have not renounced your inheritance. You also owe me money.

This becomes due when you also make peace with me. Is that why the 2 + 4 Treaty is not being implemented? But why is Bavaria again a national socialist dictatorship?

They supposedly founded the WEF with the motive that not only the shareholders profit from economic success, but the whole society. But the income of the poor is getting smaller and smaller in proportion and the wealth of the super-rich is growing faster and faster.

In 2015, the WEF, as a Swiss foundation, enters into a contract with the Swiss Confederation. How can this be? When a state concludes a treaty with another legal entity, it is tantamount to recognition as a state. Which court has jurisdiction if a contracting party violates the contract? If the WEF violates the contract, then no Swiss courts are responsible, because they decide for the Swiss.

In 2019, the WEF will conclude a treaty with the United Nations. Which court has jurisdiction if the WEF violates the treaty? Strange that you apply for Swiss nationality only in 2019. But this application was rejected.

So you are a national of the Nationality Act, date of issue July 22, 1913. The last national law is the National Socialist arbitrary law as of May 08, 1945. Therefore, you have no rights.

The WEF is composed of strategic partners, such as the Dutch group, Koninklijke DSM N. V. and members. The WEF is financed by its strategic partners and members. But these "partners" are thus part-owners of the WEF and belong to the WEF.

Mr. Feike Sijbesma started his career from the dependent subsidiary of DSM Nutritional Products AG in Kaiseraugst, Switzerland, then became CEO of Koninklijke DSM N. V. and is now Member of the Board of Trustee of the WEF and Member of the Supervisory Board at the World Bank. Under his responsibility, the DSM Group has taken sides in favor of the nationals of the German Reich, i.e. you, to my detriment. The DSM Group has committed crimes such

as deprivation of liberty, predatory extortion, bodily harm, etc. - see under Court in Washington D. C., Case No. 1:20-v-03020-CJN.

Obviously, DSM management considers itself immune from prosecution. The whole of Switzerland dances to the tune of the DSM Group. This is surely only possible because this corporation belongs to the WEF.

Which public prosecutor's office is responsible if the WEF has a contract with the United Nations?

Any public prosecutor's office that does not belong to the United Nations.

That is mainly the public prosecutor's offices of the FRG or the Free City of Danzig and who does not recognize the 2 + 4 Treaty and the Free City of Danzig does not, then it is the public prosecutor's office of the German Imperial Empire or who has withdrawn from the United Nations or has not been admitted.

In Art. 4 para. 2 of the Unification Treaty of 1990 it was stated that the scope of the GG, Art. 23 GG is repealed. Without scope of application no validity. All treaties of the FRG were concluded with the "Germans in the meaning of Art. 116 Para. 1 GG". For example, the Double Taxation Treaty between the USA and the FRG. Only "Germans in the meaning of Art. 116 (1) GG" can be civil servants. With the insertion of Section 40a, "civil servants" were degraded to employees. With this at the latest, all treaties of the FRG are no longer treaties under international law. These treaties do not apply to the "holders of German nationality within the meaning of Article 116 (1) of the Basic Law" or the Free City of Danzig or the German Imperial Empire. Which of these three possible nationalities I have, depends on the respective point of view. The state law, ordre public is always the same. Only the state property is different.

Also the treaty between the WEF and the UN is not binding for me, just as it is not binding for any other state that is not a UN member.

The nationals of the states of the UN must decide whether to continue to finance with taxes their governments that do not prosecute the most serious crimes because they have granted immunity to criminals or to stop financing their government.

The problem can be solved quite simply. It is logical and therefore imperative that arbitration proceedings be conducted in international legal relationships. The Swiss Private International Law Act regulates such proceedings in its 12th Chapter. It has only 18 articles, which only state that nothing has to be agreed. But it is also clearly regulated that no state can evade arbitration proceedings by invoking its own law. That is, no state can demand to arbitrate in its own state court. Citizens will only pay officials and judges who recognize the primacy of arbitration over state courts. Everyone who claims sovereign power is obliged to do so anyway. Anyone who refuses to certify that they reject the precedence of arbitral awards over state courts is not acting sovereignly, but as a criminal.

As a national of the National Socialist German Reich, you have no rights, no capacity to be a party. If you want to have rights again, then you must expressly renounce your nationality of the National Socialist German Reich. Then we can negotiate your participation in my claims for damages and, if necessary, have them settled before a court of arbitration.

The WEF is still a Swiss foundation and therefore a Swiss legal entity. Switzerland has violated the general rules of international law vis-à-vis me and is still violating them vis-à-vis Mrs. Karin Leffer. A breach of the general rules of international law gives rise to joint and several liability. The WEF owes me money.

You and every Swiss owe it to the strategic partner of the WEF, Koninklijke DSM N. V. or Mr. Feike Sijbesma.

You have to express to the whole world to whom you owe money, so that everybody knows what are your true interests. Do you want to illegally evade your debts? How credible are you then? How credible the WEF?

You must explain when you are finally going to end the war that your father also started against my father.

The states of the United Nations, and especially the citizens of the United Nations, have a right to know if the United Nations has made a deal with the head of an organization that is not willing to end the world war.

The Swiss have refused to let you become Swiss. I offer you a nationality in which the rights of the individual are guaranteed against a large majority.

It is your free decision, which no one can take away from you, whether you want this nationality.

It is your personal decision whether to make peace or to continue the world war.

The Swiss have a right to know if the founder and head of a Swiss foundation, uses it to continue the war and for this purpose uses the strategic partner of Koninklijke DSM N. V. and thus makes all Swiss liable.

The states of the United Nations and its citizens have a right to know whether the United Nations Organization has entered into a contract with someone who is an avowed opponent of the United Nations Charter.

I, and probably the whole world, await a statement.

Yours sincerely