Beowulf von Prince, Schweizer Str. 38, AT-6830 Rankweil July 14, 2022

Dear Action Groups Farmers, Farmers Union, FarmersDefenceForce, LTO, LLTB, ZLTO, and other citizens,

A farmer has hired me to represent his interests with the government. This means that I also represent all other Dutch farmers without a mandate.

I have a power of attorney from a farmer who is angry about the planned legislation. But I am not Dutch and I am not a farmer. I don't have any concrete information yet. I need you to help me figure out the nitrogen problem and the issues involved. He doesn't know how big his problem is.

However, the attack on the property rights of Dutch farmers is part of the quest for unlimited power.

This grab for power, which merely raises the issue of property rights, shows that **all European state legislators have eliminated themselves.** As a result, farmers can no longer protect their property rights. I will explain this in more detail later (see 9).

If all farmers know this, it can no longer be hidden from the public.

Interfering with the property rights of Dutch farmers is only part of the quest for unlimited power. A **strategic dismantling** <u>of all rights</u> is **taking place**. This has been going on for years. There have been many helpless victims.

Without a **public discussion** about European legal transactions, there will be more victims. That is why I am placing this letter in a larger context. Without this perspective, one cannot understand the strategic dismantling of our rights that has been going on for years. Therefore, I have divided the letter into 17 small chapters so that the material can be digested slowly. The table of contents is at the end of this letter.

The real problem is the process of abolishing our rights, which has been going on for years.

The solution I propose is **private international law or arbitration** (see 4 and 10).

I ask you to inform as many farmers as possible that the actions of the Dutch government must be challenged through arbitration. Arbitration is international law.

Everyone can participate and, if possible, already formulate concrete damages.

1) I introduce myself: Retired senior forestry inspector and civil servant for life.

I am a senior forestry inspector by profession. So I am a civil servant for life. In my professional life I have had to deal with every conceivable forestry disaster. In only 15 years of working life, I had to do the work of 45 years. Because of this overload, I herniated a disc in my cervical spine and had to take early retirement.

I had also built up **an agricultural and forestry business**. To expand it, I had to build. The building permit was denied. In 1999, the Bavarian court confirmed that my rights had been unlawfully violated by the denial of the building permit.

However, before I could build, an agricultural use was converted to residential use. Now I could not farm. Therefore, I established a **construction company** and built a house on it. Then I started a **consulting business** specializing in business financing and annuities.

Before I could really get going, in 2004 I was <u>suddenly pursued by the authorities for no apparent reason.</u> I rented out a plot of land at cost price and the Coburg District Office reported me for fraud. I sold a building plot that was designated as building land. The Coburg District Office reported me again for fraud. "Sold building land although it is not developed." I bought a development right and started the development on the only possible plot between two buildings. The police came and stopped the construction. The pipes that had already been laid were taken out again, and I was charged with trespassing. No one can tell me where I can exercise the right to build that I acquired.

Although I am retired as a forestry inspector, I am still a **professional hunter**. In order to practice my profession, I had to buy hunting weapons at my own expense. In return, I received the right to hunt in all Bavarian state forests for life. These hunting weapons have been officially registered for 30 years. Now I was suddenly charged with illegal possession of weapons. The difference between legal and illegal possession of weapons is simple. Legal guns are officially registered, illegal guns are not.

For the trial for alleged fraud, I invited everyone and the press as witnesses. I also made an audio recording. So I can prove that the <u>court record of the trial was falsified</u>.

Therefore, in 2006, together with others, I founded the association **Bund für das Recht**.

In 2009 we published the book: "Do Your Duty - Give Peace to the World - Save Your Existence". <u>Tue Deine Pflicht: schenke der Welt den Frieden und rette damit Deine Existenz:</u> Prince, Beowulf von, Leffer, Karin, Heinemann, Manfred: Amazon.de: Bücher

2) Since 2008 I am my own lawyer and continue the task of my father

My German lawyer was **disbarred** in 2007 because he sued for me at the Higher Regional Court in Bamberg/Bavaria/Germany.

Since then, I have taken legal action myself. First, I filed almost countless lawsuits in my own name in Germany. Later, I filed a lawsuit against the DSM Group in Switzerland on behalf of a trustee. In Switzerland, lawyers were threatened. This also happened in the Netherlands. I also went to the highest court in Belgium.

I soon realized that this bizarre persecution since 2004 must have political reasons.

My father has the nationality of the Free City of Danzig - German-Polish Border Treaty. I realized that the fact that my father has the nationality of the Free City of Danzig has something to do with it. Also - and this is very important <u>- he refused German nationality in the 1950s.</u>

Because of my connection to the Free City of Danzig - I was still working as a civil servant - I had already filed a complaint for damages in 1990 because of the German-Polish Border Treaty. They left my complaint unanswered for a year. During that time, the Federal Law on the Federal Constitutional Court was amended so that complaints no longer had to be accepted. Now they did not have to accept my complaint.

As a result, <u>I could not pursue this complaint.</u> I realized that this was a deception. Berlin was still occupied. It was impossible to agree on borders recognized by international law. The German-Polish Border Treaty was merely a confirmation of the administrative borders created by the occupying powers.

<u>I am continuing my father's task: peace treaty between the Free City of Danzig and the Germans.</u>

As a result, in 2008 I reorganized the **Free City of Danzig** together with Karin Leffer.

My father, a national of the Free City of Danzig, was sent to Germany in 1940 by the British from what was then Tanzania - where he lived - to resist the SS. He was thus part of the Allies against the German Reich.

He never surrendered. That is important in international law. He <u>almost</u> fulfilled his mission. After the war, he refused German nationality. He also demanded compensation for World War II at the United Nations. After all, he did not receive a German pension in his position! Petition of Tom Adalbert von Prince to the United Nations: <u>Petitions from Heinz Langguth on behalf of Tom Adalbert von Prince (T/PET.2/199 and Add.1 and 2) and Bertram von Lekow and Tom Adalbert von Prince (T/PET.2/200 and Add.1, 2 and 3). (un.org).</u>

His mission ends only with a peace treaty between the Free City of Danzig and the Germans.

On October 01, 2019, the District Court of Coburg confirmed that I am the <u>competent</u> <u>representative of the Free City of Danzig.</u>

The Free City of Danzig is enshrined in the **Treaty of Versailles, Articles 100-108** (see 13). The Netherlands has ratified the Treaty of Versailles and must protect the nationals of the Free City of Danzig.

You must understand that the Free City of Danzig is the union of all citizens of all countries. The Free City of Danzig has signed treaties with all the countries of the world. With so many treaties, the Free City of Danzig cannot simply disappear. The Free City of Danzig is **administered by Poland until a peace treaty** is concluded. In reality, Poland does not represent the Free City of Danzig.

3) Representatives of the Free City of Danzig = Association of all citizens of all countries

I wrote a letter with the Free City of Danzig stamp to the International Court of Justice in The Hague in 2017. I quoted from international treaties until 1990. I received a file number.

The International Court of Justice in The Hague then changed its website.

The United Nations published Tom von Prince's documents on its website in 2018. In it, it was confirmed that he is a national of the Free City of Danzig. It was also confirmed that his claims for damages will be postponed <u>until there is a peace treaty.</u> Petitions from Heinz Langguth on behalf of Tom Adalbert von Prince (T/PET.2/199 and Add.1 and 2) and Bertram von Lekow and Tom Adalbert von Prince (T/PET.2/200 and Add.1, 2 and 3). (un.org)

Complaint in Washington, D.C.: How will World War II be legally ended?

In November 2019, Karin Leffer and I are filing a complaint in Washington D. C. against Germany, Switzerland, Belgium, and the European Union

on the grounds,

that no state court in all of Europe has jurisdiction over cases

which violate the procedural guarantees

of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

The defendants contest the jurisdiction of the Washington, D.C. court.

The Washington complaint can be read in German and English on the website www.verfassung.info.

4) Why is private international law or arbitration the solution for Dutch farmers?

Back to the solution I propose for Dutch farmers: private international law.

Indeed, only arbitration has jurisdiction.

Such arbitration proceedings take <u>precedence over all proceedings before state courts</u>. They have been ratified by no fewer than 168 states. It is thus enshrined in international law.

Every country has a private international law. Switzerland has anchored private international law particularly well in the IPRG. The solution I propose is the Swiss Private International Law Act (IPRG/PILA), which regulates these arbitration proceedings. <u>Every citizen and therefore</u> every farmer around the world can invoke Swiss Private International Law or the IPRG.

In the meantime, I have already had two such arbitration proceedings conducted. Such arbitration proceedings are very inexpensive and can be concluded within a few weeks.

The reasons why no national court in Europe guarantees the procedural guarantees of

Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights,

are of course political. Why is this so? The answer can be found among our neighbors.

<u>5) Bavaria is again a dictatorship:</u> As in the 20th century, a de facto National Socialist dictatorship has been established in the state of Bavaria in the 21st century. This is no secret. Everyone can read about it (see 7 and especially the example in 8).

If the Police Tasks Act had come into force in 2018 - which is what they wanted - anyone suspected of having called for an unauthorized demonstration would have been **detained indefinitely, without** public trial and **without** the right to a lawyer.

- **6)** Is **Poland a constitutional state?** To pretend that Europe is an area of law, freedom and security, Poland is fined by the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg (ECJ) because the judges of the highest disciplinary chamber for judges are appointed by politicians and thus the independence of the courts is not guaranteed.
- **7) German prosecutors are NOT independent:** Two suspected Romanian bank robbers to be extradited to Germany asked the Irish court whether German prosecutors were independent.

The Court of Justice of the European Union ruled on May 27, 2019, that German prosecutors **are not independent.** 5'000 German arrest warrants had to be reissued. Didn't all police officers, prosecutors, judges and lawyers know this? What is being taught at universities?

Then a Thuringian judge asks the EU Court of Justice whether he may issue arrest warrants. He justifies this by saying that the powers are not separate but intertwined. He is judged by politicians and is also an appointed official. This question has been before the Court for two years without an answer. This is also an answer.

The Thuringian judge himself has stated that he is not independent. Compared to Bavarian judges, he is independent.

8) The Law on Judges and Prosecutors was adopted in Germany in 2005

In 2005, the Law on Judges and Prosecutors was adopted in Germany. The disciplinary law for soldiers applies to judges and public prosecutors.

<u>Bavaria:</u> The Prime Minister appoints the Minister of Justice and dismisses him if he does not fulfill his duties. The Minister of Justice appoints, promotes and transfers judges and prosecutors. The Minister of Justice gives instructions to prosecutors. It may be that a Bavarian prosecutor investigates a case on Friday and decides as a judge on Monday.

Example in Bavaria: Dr. Koch at the Coburg Regional Court, for example, is first a public prosecutor, then a judge and then a public prosecutor again. This is already not possible because of the different oaths. For example, the Chief Public Prosecutor of the Bamberg Higher Regional Court, Mr. Lückemann, instructs the Chief Public Prosecutor of the Coburg Regional Court, Mr. Lohneis, to prosecute a certain person. He forwards the order to a public prosecutor.

Mr. Lückemann, Chief Public Prosecutor at the Bamberg Higher Regional Court, is appointed President of the Bamberg Higher Regional Court and thus the disciplinary superior of the judges.

Mr. Lohneis is appointed President of the Coburg Regional Court. If new proceedings are to be instituted before the Coburg Regional Court, the matter is referred to the Bamberg Regional Court. There, Mr. Lohneis is now President of the Regional Court and disciplinary superior of these judges.

His successor at Coburg Regional Court is Ms. Ursula Haderlein, who previously worked there as a public prosecutor. If a judge wants to take disciplinary action and file a complaint with the Bavarian Administrative Court, he is brought before the court. The case goes to Mr. Lückemann, who is now an administrative judge.

9) The independence of judges has been completely abolished in Bavaria

Court records are **not kept verbatim** in Germany. It is only said: **"The witness has testified".** Whether he testified for or against the defendant **is not recorded**.

No one can review the verdict. Judgments are not handed out with the judge's signature. It is confirmed that no judge signed the judgment.

The judgments of the Bamberg Higher Regional Court, for example, are stamped "Bavarian Higher Regional Court". However, there is no Bavarian Higher Regional Court.

This clearly shows that it is not a decision by an independent judge, but by a civil servant acting on behalf of a politician.

How is it that no politician and no newspaper reports these sober facts?

German judges are active in all international courts.

Prof. Dr. Bertram Schmitt was a judge at the Federal Court of Justice and an honorary professor at the University of Würzburg. He was appointed judge at the European Court of Justice in Strasbourg and worked for Eurojust. Today he is a judge at the International Criminal Court in The Hague.

Prof. Dr. Georg Nolte works at the International Court of Justice in The Hague.

The German situation is not a German problem, but a European problem.

All EU states have to enforce German judgments <u>without control</u>. In this way Germany controls the EU. This is how Nazi law spreads in the EU.

All Europeans are responsible <u>for the implementation of these judgments</u>. All state judges in the EU should complain about the Germans. But they don't. Moreover, the European judges have sidelined themselves. What about the <u>EU Charter of Fundamental Rights</u>?

In any case, state judges are only <u>subsidiary judges</u> under international law.

10) Arbitration takes precedence over all state courts

The rule of law is upheld by arbitration.

Any official or judge who does not recognize the primacy of arbitration over state courts is not entitled to taxpayer funds.

11) It only takes one community to stop this development, or even just a few farmers in a village

This should be made public.

If only one municipality in the EU declares that it demands the exclusion of the Germans from the EU or leaves the EU itself, the probably biggest fraud in the history of mankind can no longer be covered up. Then the whole swindle will be exposed.

This can also be some farmers from the same village or a farmer with some citizens.

This will also have a positive impact on the Ukraine conflict. The President of the Russian Federation justifies the invasion of Ukraine by saying that he considers the Russian Federation to be threatened by the Nazis. The Russian Federation is accused of a unique violation of international law. But in the lawsuit that Karin Leffer and I filed, we prove that <u>Bavaria itself</u> violated 18 different treaties under international law.

Among others, the general rules of international law were violated in relation to Switzerland. Such a violation was the reason for the outbreak of the First World War.

12) The World War is not over: Germany continues the 1st and 2nd World Wars

President Selenskii brought the case to the International Court of Justice in The Hague with the accusation of waging a war of aggression. On the other hand, the Germans are openly continuing World War I and World War II. The world war is not over yet.

1990 Peace Treaty: 2 plus 4 Treaty

Karin Leffer and I are also suing in Washington, D.C., on the grounds that the **1990 Peace Treaty**, the 2 (Federal Republic of Germany and German Democratic Republic (GDR)) + 4 (Powers/Allies) Treaty, has not been fulfilled to date.

The 2+4 Treaty cannot be fulfilled without the political reorganization of the Free City of Danzig.

We therefore maintain that the United States of America is still the occupying power and has jurisdiction, but Judge Nichols in Washington D. C. rules that the Free City of Danzig is responsible for the implementation of the 2+4 Treaty.

13) The Free City of Danzig is a peacekeeping instrument in the Treaty of Versailles

The Second World War began with the invasion of the Free City of Danzig on Sept. 01, 1939 - Indictment No. 1 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials.

The Free City of Danzig was established as a peacekeeping instrument under Articles 100-108 of the **Versailles Peace Treaty**.

Pursuant to Art. 102, the Free City of Danzig falls under the protection of the League of Nations.

Pursuant to Article 103, the Constitution of the Free City of Danzig is agreed upon between the Free City of Danzig and the League of Nations. The United Nations is the successor organization of the League of Nations.

The Constitution of the Free City of Danzig is therefore a treaty under international law.

However, the Nazis came to power even earlier - before Hitler's invasion of the Free City of Danzig in 1939 - and introduced Nazi laws. Citizens of the Free City of Danzig filed a complaint against this around 1935. The Permanent Court of International Justice in The Hague ruled that the Free City of Danzig is a state governed by the rule of law - Series A/B No. 65. The freedom of the individual outweighs the interests of the majority.

As a result, Great Britain announced that it would take over executive power. The Nazi law that had been introduced was later repealed.

14) Jews fled via the Free City of Danzig during World War II. Now in 2022 the farmers?

About 620'000 Jews used the Free City of Danzig to escape. It is said that without the Free City of Danzig there would be no State of Israel.

German nationality was forced upon the citizens of the Free City of Danzig and they were forced into military service against their own protecting power - Indictment No. 2 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials. Those who refused were sent to the Stutthof concentration camp. Only 35% of the prisoners survived. Only now is an employee being tried for aiding and abetting mass murder. Finally, the unfortified city of Danzig was declared a fortress that could be completely destroyed, which was Indictment No. 3 of the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials.

No other state suffered greater percentage losses, but the Free City of Danzig was the <u>only</u> state that received no reparations or compensation.

The Danzig Gulden was the second most stable currency backed by gold. To this day, the Danzigers have not received this gold. Why?

15) Germany or the FRG is the legal successor of the Free City of Danzig

The reason is that the FRG was founded as the legal successor of the Free City of Danzig.

According to international law, this legal succession is completed when the Free City of Danzig agrees to a constitution for the FRG. This is the condition of Article 1 of the 2+4 Treaty.

Without a constitution for the FRG with the consent of the Free City of Danzig, the Germans do not recognize the European borders. So the Dutch borders are not recognized by the Germans either. They thus continue both world wars.

Unification Treaty

Instead of implementing the provisions of Article 1 of the 2+4 Treaty, the two partly sovereign states FRG and GDR concluded a Unification Treaty.

According to **Article 3**, the GDR initially acceded to the Basic Law (GG) for the FRG. Two sentences further, **Art. 4** para. 2, both together withdraw from the scope of application of the GG Art. 23. Without the scope of application there is no validity.

The citizens of the FRG are the "holders of German nationality within the meaning of Article 116 **(1)** of the Basic Law." By "within the meaning of Art. 116" is meant Art. 116 of the Danzig Constitution:

"The Weimar Constitution shall be repealed. German law at the time of Jan. 1920 is guaranteed."

The Danzigers are therefore "holders of German nationality within the meaning of Article 116 (1) of the German Basic Law." The Nazi law was thus abolished.

The "holders of German nationality within the meaning of Article 116 (1) of the Basic Law" are entitled to reparation.

The <u>nationals of the Nationality Act of the German Reich</u>, promulgation date July 22, 1913, are the "Germans within the meaning of Article 116 **(2)** of the Basic Law" and <u>are liable for reparations</u>. They exercise their sovereign powers only to administer the reparations due.

Nationality Act of the German Reich

Triggered by the court case in Washington D.C., I dealt for the first time with the <u>Nationality Act of the German Reich</u>. I stumbled over the insertion of Section 40 a. This declares "holders of German nationality within the meaning of Article 116 **(1)** of the Basic Law" to be <u>nationals of the German Reich</u>. Similarly, the "Germans" could have declared the Dutch to be Germans. I point out in Oct. 2020 that this Section is null and void without my express consent. On Aug. 21, 2021, this Section was deleted without debate.

Section 15 of the Nationality Act was overwritten. In it the **clear separation of "Germans"** is implemented. However, this is only understood by those who know the 1st and 2nd Acts Regulating Nationality.

<u>The non-compliance with the 2+4 Treaty has been legally confirmed.</u> This means that there must be negotiations between the Danzigers and the Germans. And again the question arises how it is possible that these facts are concealed by politicians and newspapers.

16) World Economic Forum (WEF) - Mark Rutte - Agenda 2030

The WEF was founded by the German Klaus Schwab. Officially it is only a discussion platform between politics and business. But the WEF has assets of around 300′000′000,- €. How are these assets created and what are they used for? The funds come from contributions from strategic partners and from membership fees.

One strategic partner, for example, is Koninklijke DSM N.V. I have proved in various court cases that all sorts of things are wrong at DSM. The whole of Switzerland dances to the tune of the DSM Group. The CEOs from DSM regularly attend the meeting in Davos and are listed on the WEF website.

Mark Rutte is also a member of the WEF.

See the WEF website (Mark Rutte - Agenda Contributor | World Economic Forum (weforum.org).

The picture shows Mr. Schwab with UN Secretary General Guterres. They signed a cooperation agreement between the UN and WEF on June 13, **2019 to jointly accelerate the implementation of the** <u>**2030 Agenda.**</u> (See photo: They are pictured together after signing on the Stichting Vaccin Vrij website. <u>Verenigde Naties - Stichting Vaccin Vrij</u>).

Mr. Schwab has established a foundation for the Young Global Leaders. It is clear that the proceeds will be used to create a world elite. This is in line with the SS world view. An elite that rules the world without restrictions and without control by state organs. Note that the German Wehrmacht surrendered, but the SS NEVER surrendered.

17) The encroachment on the property rights of the Dutch farmers

The encroachment on the property rights of the farmers is part of the quest for unlimited power through a long-term and **strategic dismantling of all our rights**.

This court case, which only raises the issue of property rights, shows that **all European state judges** have in fact **abolished** themselves **(see 9).** And therefore farmers cannot protect their property rights.

If many farmers know this, it can no longer be hidden from the public. This will also have a positive impact on the Ukraine conflict.

So please spread this letter. Put it on your website and, if possible, give arguments why you are disadvantaged by the Dutch government. Nothing is as dangerous as the truth!

<u>Farmers are the last bastion.</u> Citizens have no choice but to stand with farmers. Farmers are necessary for survival. You may even be able to invest in advertising.

A long-term and strategic dismantling of all rights is taking place.

There have already been many helpless victims. <u>Without a public discussion about the real</u> rights situation in Europe, many more will follow.

Thank you very much and best regards,

Beowulf von Prince

Biography Beowulf von Prince

- 1) Retired forest senior inspector and civil servant for life.
- 2) Entrepreneur of 4 different companies retired
- 3) Courses in Bavaria entitled "To be right and to get right".
- 4) Reorganization of the Free City of Danzig as a result of Tom von Prince's petition to the UN: Petitions from Heinz Langguth on behalf of Tom Adalbert von Prince (T/PET.2/199 and Add.1 and 2) and Bertram von Lekow and Tom Adalbert von Prince (T/PET.2/200 and Add.1, 2 and 3). (un.org)
- 5) 2009 Book **Do Your Duty: give peace to the world and therby save your existence:**<u>Tue Deine Pflicht: schenke der Welt den Frieden und rette damit Deine Existenz: Prince,</u>

 Beowulf von. Leffer. Karin, Heinemann, Manfred: Amazon, de: Bücher
- 6) since 2009 full time complaints to the International Court of Justice in The Hague.
- 7) the results of these complaints can be applied internationally **by all citizens**, entrepreneurs and farmers in all countries, including the Netherlands
- 8) The Washington complaint can be read in German (and English) on the website www.verfassung.info

Table of contents

- 1) Introducing myself: Retired senior forest inspector and civil servant for life.
- 2) Since 2008 I am my own lawyer and continue my father's mission.
- 3) Representative of the Free City of Danzig = Association of all citizens of all countries. Lawsuit in Washington: who legally ends the Second World War?
- 4) Why is private international law or arbitration the solution for Dutch farmers?
- 5) Bavaria is a dictatorship again
- 6) Is Poland a constitutional state?
- 7) German prosecutors are NOT independent
- 8) The law on judges and prosecutors was passed in Germany in 2005.
- 9) Independence of judges in Bavaria was completely abolished.

 The German situation is not a German problem, but a European problem
- 10) Arbitration has priority over all state courts.
- 11) It only takes one municipality to stop participating, or a few farmers from the same village
- 12) World War is not over yet: Germany continues WW1 and WW2.

Peace treaty of 1990: 2 plus 4 Treaty

- 13) The Free City of Danzig is a peacekeeping instrument of the Versailles Peace Treaty
- 14) Jews flee via the Free City of Danzig during World War II. Now in 2022 the farmers?
- 15) Germany or the FRG is the legal successor of the Free City of Danzig. Unification Treaty
- 16) World Economic Forum (WEF) Mark Rutte Agenda 2030 Mark Rutte is also a member of the WEF.
- 17) The erosion of the property rights of Dutch farmers.